

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCIA Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

٦.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
_	09/559,984	04/26/00	HUBBELL		J =	50166/002001	
Г	-	HM12/0:		910	EXAMINER		
1	KRISTINA BI	EKER-BRADY		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	DI NOLA BARON,L		
	CLARK & ELB:				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	BOSTON MA 0:			_	1615	6.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

03/19/01

·		Application No.		Applicant(s)							
ر مود	Office Action Summary	09/559,984		HUBBELL ET AL.							
	omec Action Gammary	Examiner		Art Unit							
		Liliana Di Nola-E	Baron	1615							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status											
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 F	ebruary 2001 .									
2a)□		s action is non-fi	nal.								
3)											
Dispositi	on of Claims										
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.											
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.											
8)	Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirer	nent.								
Application	on Papers										
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.									
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examine	r.								
11)	_										
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119										
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
_	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been recei	ved.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			n No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
_	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14)⊠ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).											
Attachment((s)										
(6) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	18)		(PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P							

Application/Control Number: 09/559,984 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 3, 4 and 11-13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Claims 3, 4 and 11-13 read on the hydrogel composition of claim 1, whereas claim 1 refers to a hydrogel precursor composition. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.
- 2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 4 reads on the composition of claim 11. It should depend on claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 11 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Claim 11 is dependent on claim 9, which does not include any molecule. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amiel et al.

Art Unit: 1615

The claimed invention refers to a hydrogel precursor comprising a polymer and a protecting group.

Amiel et al. discloses hydrophobically end-capped polyethylene oxide and water-soluble β-cyclodextrin polymers (See e.g., Abstract and Introduction). Said polymers meet the limitations of claims 1, 5 and 9 of the instant application, as they contemplate a hydrogel precursor comprising a polymer and a protecting group, specifically cyclodextrin. Thus, Amiel et al. anticipates the claimed invention.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amiel et al. as applied to claims 1, 5 and 9 above, and further in view of Hedstrand et al., Rhee et al. and Jodal et al.

The claimed invention refers to a hydrogel precursor comprising a polymer and a protecting group. Additionally, the claimed invention refers to a hydrogel precursor comprising a polymer and a protecting group and a molecule that disrupt the interaction between the polymer and the protecting group, and methods for forming a hydrogel or incorporating a biological material into a hydrogel.

Application/Control Number: 09/559,984

Art Unit: 1615

The teachings of Amiel et al. have been summarized above. Amiel et al. does not include hydrocarbon groups among the hydrophobic interacting groups of the polymer and does not disclose methods of incorporating drugs into the hydrogel.

Rhee et al. discloses biocompatible compositions, formed by covalently binding natural inert polymers to synthetic hydrophilic polymers, such as polyethylene glycol (See e.g., col. 2, lines 1-8). Rhee et al. includes dextrins, such as cyclodextrin, among the natural polymers used in the invention and teaches that the compositions may include active proteins, such as cytokines (See e.g., col. 2, lines 9-27). Rhee et al. teaches that the compositions are formulated in a flowable form and injected into the patient, and after injection, the carrier is removed (See e.g., col. 2, lines 48-59). Rhee et al. teaches that in order to form the conjugates of the invention, the hydrophilic polymer, specifically PEG, is functionalized by various methods, including addition of chloride (See e.g., col. 10, lines 3-38).

Hedsrtand et al. provides structured copolymers and discloses their use as gels (See e.g., col. 1, lines 33-34). Hedstrand et al. teaches that the modified dendrimers of the invention are prepared by capping the dense star polymers with a hydrophobic tail (See e.g., col. 4, lines 59-67). Hedstrand et al. explains that the amine- or hydroxy-terminated dendrimers are reacted with a hydrocarbon chloride or bromide (See e.g., col. 5, lines 1-6). Hedstrand et al. teaches that the selection of the hydrophobic groups is within the capability of one skilled in the art (See e.g., col. 5, lines 46-51).

Jodal et al. discloses enzymatic degradation of cyclodextrin with α -amylase (See e.g., Introduction and Discussion).

Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hydrogel precursors disclosed by Amiel et al. or Rhee et al., by including a molecule able to disrupt the interaction between the polymer and cyclodextrin, such as an α-amylase, to allow gelatination of the hydrogel upon contact with the tissue. Because of the teachings of Hedstrand et al., that selection of the hydrophobic groups is within the capability of one skilled in the art, one of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation that hydrogel precursors, in which the polymer has fluoride functional groups, would be successfully formed and able to incorporate biological material. Therefore the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Liliana Di Nola-Baron whose telephone number is 703-308-8318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234/1235.

THURMAN K PAGE SUPERVISORY PATEROL EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

March 16, 2001